

DECLARATION OF JAMES A. BLANCO

1 I, James A. Blanco declare as follows:

2 1. I am James A. Blanco, all of the facts set forth in this declaration are of my own personal
3 knowledge and if called as a witness I could and would competently testify as to the following:

4 2. I previously prepared a declaration in this matter which I signed on May 31st, 2011. My
5 Curriculum Vitae was attached to that declaration as EXHIBIT 1 and is incorporated hereto by
6 reference. In paragraphs 2 through 6 of that declaration I recounted my professional background and
7 work experience which also is incorporated hereto by reference. In that declaration I deal with three
8 questioned Trombetta waivers designated as Q1, Q2 and Q3. EXHIBIT 1 through EXHIBIT 8 were
9 attached to this first declaration.

10 3. Subsequently I prepared a second declaration in this matter which I signed on July 20th,
11 2011. That second declaration is also incorporated hereto by reference. My second declaration dealt
12 with my examinations of additional questioned Trombetta waivers (Q4 through Q7) and additional
13 writers corresponding to those waivers. EXHIBIT 9 through EXHIBIT 16 were attached to this
14 second declaration. My first and second declarations were accepted into evidence on July 26th 2011
15 and retained by the Santa Barbara Superior Court, Honorable Brian Hill presiding.

16 4. In the regular course of my business as a Forensic Document Examiner I received, by
17 Federal Express delivery from the Law Offices of Darryl Genis, various additional documents for
18 examination which are described as follows:

19 **QUESTIONED DOCUMENT:**

20 **Q6(b)** The original of the Questioned Trombetta waiver dated November 27th 2009, bearing the
21 questioned “John Eric Page” signature at the bottom left of the page. A copy of this waiver
22 was dealt with in my second declaration and was referred to as “Q6”.

23 **A color scan of the Field Evaluation Notes side of this original document is attached**
24 **hereto as EXHIBIT 17.1 and a color scan of the Trombetta side of this document is**

1 **attached hereto as EXHIBIT 18.1.**

2 Note: This actual Q6(b) original is a two-sided document with the Trombetta on one side
3 and the Field Evaluation Notes on the other side. On the Trombetta side, the Trombetta Waiver
4 information only occupies the bottom portion of the page, but in the upper portion of the page there
5 are questions with spaces in rectangled boxes to answer those questions, and there are also boxes to
6 check the answers to numerous “YES” or “NO” questions and other selection boxes.

7 It would not be surprising to find certain limited repetitive handwritten information on so called
8 original document forms that might bear information such as an officer’s name and badge number.
9 However, what is surprising is to find that predetermined questions and spaces to answer those
10 questions were already filled in and boxes checked on the actual form prior to the document being
11 used in the John Page stop and arrest.

12 The very basic assumption of a form with various questions on it is that the questions are
13 posed and the person being questioned gives answers and then those answers are recorded
14 contemporaneously in live ink in the spaces provided for on the form. The opposite side of the
15 Trombetta page, being titled “Field Evaluation Notes,” argues this very point since notes should be
16 taken in the “Field” situation.

17 Indeed, in my employment as a Forensic Document Examiner with the Sacramento County
18 Sheriff’s Office, the Federal Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, and with the California
19 Department of Justice, my expectation would be that all of the handwritten responses, to include the
20 “X” marks, should all be in original writing ink, and should not be in photocopier toner, with the
21 exception of possible limited repetitive information such as the officer’s name and badge number.
22 But that is not what occurred as is demonstrated in this declaration.

23 ///

24 ///

1 **DESIGNATION OF KNOWN SPECIMEN DOCUMENTS:**

2 **K1.2** Twenty-two request signature exemplars of Peter Lance in original writing pen ink

3 **K2.2** Twenty-two request signature exemplars of Alison Woolery in original writing pen ink

4 **K3.2** Nineteen request signature exemplars of Cody Zoesch in original writing pen ink

5 **K6.2** Twenty request signature exemplars of John Page in original writing pen ink

6 **K7.2** Twenty-two request signature exemplars of Rachel Morales in original writing pen ink

7 **ANALYSIS OF THE Q6 ORIGINAL TROMBETTA:**

8 5. This Q6(b) original Trombetta is a two-sided document with the “FIELD EVALUATION
9 NOTES” on one side and the Trombetta on the reverse side with the heading at the top of the page,
10 “DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE REPORT.” I will continue to reference this side of the
11 two-sided document as the “Trombetta side” and the Field Evaluation Notes side as the “Field
12 Evaluation Notes .”

13 **Many of the handwritten checkmarks and some handwritings were not written by writing pen
14 ink, but were already “pre-copied” onto the Q6(b) two-sided form.**

15 6. Since all of the information on the Q6(b) original document appears black to the naked eye,
16 a typical visual review of both sides of the original Q6(b) document would not lead the observer to
17 discern whether or not the handwritten words and checks marks were of different inks- that is, it
18 would not likely occur to the reader that some of the inks were in original and some were the result
19 of photocopier toner. However, examination of the Q6(b) original document using infrared
20 processes reveals where there are differences.

21 7. Infrared examinations revealed that many of the checkmarks on the original Q6(b) document
22 were not the result of writing pen ink but rather, were the result of photocopier toner. That is, the
23 “X”s were already present on the form before the Officer started to fill it out pertaining to the stop
24 of John Page.

1 8. EXHIBIT 17.2 hereto is a full page infrared image of the original Q6(b) Field Evaluation
2 Notes page. This EXHIBIT 17.2 infrared image page is placed in this declaration just behind the
3 EXHIBIT 17.1 color copy of the same Field Evaluation Notes page for easy comparison between
4 these two pages.

5 Likewise, the EXHIBIT 18.2 attachment hereto is a full page infrared image of the original
6 Trombetta side of Q6(b). The EXHIBIT 18.2 infrared page is placed in this declaration just behind
7 the EXHIBIT 18.1 color copy from the same Q6(b) original.

8 9. Review of these EXHIBITS 17.2 and EXHIBIT 18.2 infrared images reveals that some of
9 the handwritten “X”s and other handwritings glow white, while other handwritings and Xs remain
10 black. These images were taken using an infrared 715 nanometer barrier filter in conjunction with
11 an excitation light at 505 nanometers. The result is that the “live” writing pen ink, which appears
12 black to the naked eye, glows white¹ while the photocopier toner used to reproduce the page in the
13 copying of the form remains black. This demonstrates that the Xs, where they appear black (rather
14 than glowing white), are the result of photocopier toner, and this means that these Xs were already
15 on the “blank” form prior to it being used to fill out the information regarding the stop of John Page.

16 10. In addition to the full page infrared images, close up infrared imagery was also taken of the
17 specific areas cited below beginning with paragraph 12. These close up infrared images are
18 positioned along the right hand columns of the attached comparison chart pages designated and
19 attached hereto as EXHIBIT 19.1, EXHIBIT 19.2, EXHIBIT 19.3 and EXHIBIT 19.4 (EXHIBIT
20 19.5 has just the two infrared images). All of these infrared images were taken using the same
21 settings, that is, a 715 nanometer barrier filter was used in conjunction with a blue-green excitation

22
23 ¹ **Why does a black ballpoint pen ink glow white?** The black ballpoint writing pen ink glows white as the result of the
24 well-established spectrographic feature called “the stokes shift” or “stokes effect”. This occurs when a blue-greenish
light is positioned to shine on the document which light in turn excites the black ink molecules causing them to reemit
over 200 nanometers higher in the light spectrum beyond the vision capacity of the human eye. But infrared imaging
can capture this glowing effect, visualized it using a high resolution camera with infrared barrier filters in front of the
lens of the camera.

1 filter.

2 11. In the left hand columns of the first four pages of the EXHIBIT 19 series of charts I have
3 positioned enlargements of color digital photographs (“photomicrographs”) of the image areas that
4 correspond to the infrared images appearing in the right hand columns. In this way the reader can
5 readily see what a specific area looks like to the unaided (“naked”) eye by looking at the image on
6 the left, while seeing what the infrared analysis reveals by comparing the corresponding image
7 immediately to the right. I have placed text boxes between the images of the EXHIBIT 19.1-4 chart
8 series for reference. The EXHIBIT 19.5 page has two large images that speak for themselves.

9 12. To be clear, the following boxes were already pre-checked “NO” or “YES” by photocopier
10 toner on Q6(b)— (see the following EXHIBIT 19 text boxes to locate the references):

11 **TROMBETTA SIDE OF THE Q6(b) ORIGINAL**

12 **Reference EXHIBIT 19.1 attached hereto—**

- | | | |
|----|--|-------|
| 13 | 1) Do You Known Of Anything Wrong With your Vehicle? | “NO” |
| 14 | 2) Do You Remember Driving The Vehicle? | “YES” |
| 15 | 3) Are You Sick Or Injured? | “NO” |
| 16 | 4) Have You Bumped Your Head Today? | “NO” |
| 17 | 5) Are You Diabetic (partial live pen overwrite of existing toner) | “NO” |

18 **Reference EXHIBIT 19.2 attached hereto—**

- | | | |
|----|--|------|
| 19 | 6) Do You Take Insulin? | “NO” |
| 20 | 7) Do You Take Insulin Injections? | “NO” |
| 21 | 8) Are You Epileptic? | “NO” |
| 22 | 9) Recent Surgery? | “NO” |
| 23 | 10) Are You Under The Care Of A Doctor Or Dentist? | “NO” |

24 (toner for an “X” was already present on the form, and then

1 another "X" was written over the toner X with live ink)

2 **Reference EXHIBIT 19.3 attached hereto—**

3 11) Do You Have Any Physical Impairments? "NO"

4 12) Were You Driving The Vehicle? "YES"

5 (toner for an "X" was already present on the form, and then
6 another "X" written over the toner X with live ink)

7 13) Do You Know Why You Were Stopped? "YES"

8 (this was in live ink only and toner was not previously in
9 this box- image here to show what a pen only entry looks like.)

10 14) Have You Taken Any Medication Or Drugs? "NO"

11 15) **Under the Chemical Test Admonition section, the box was also**
12 **pre-checked for, "Because I Believe You Are Under The**
13 **Influence Of Alcohol"...**

14 **FIELD EVALUATION NOTES SIDE OF THE Q6(b) ORIGINAL**

15 13. As to what infrared analysis revealed on the Field Evaluation Notes side of the document:

16 **Reference EXHIBIT 19.4 attached hereto—**

17 16) Contacts (this box only in original writing pen ink only) "NO"

18 *The following boxes were pre-checked in photocopier toner, not writing pen:*

19 17) Subject Advised That part Of The Evaluation Is
20 The Ability To Follow Instructions "YES"

21 18) Equal Tracking "YES"

22 19) Equal Pupil Size "YES"

23 14. Also, the following words were not written in writing pen ink but were also the result of
24 photocopier toner, that is, these following "handwritten" words were copied onto Q6(b) from

1 another form-

2 20) Test Location: Lighting "Spot"

3 **And from EXHIBIT 19.5 attached hereto—**

4 21) Test Location: Weather "Cool"

5 22) Test Location: Surface "Flat"

6 ///

7 **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS REGARDING THE INFRARED ANALYSIS:**

8 15. It challenges the imagination to determine a good-faith reason to explain why a form, with
9 so many boxes to be checked, would be filled out ahead of time on a pre-prepared form, and then
10 taken into the field for use. The boxes that had been pre-checked did not allow for alternative
11 answers to the questions posed even in the face of the obvious fact that there is no way for the
12 Officer to know ahead of time what answers the arrestee might give. Consequently, this Q6(b)
13 form, which has so many boxes pre-checked, strongly suggests that the officer went into the field on
14 duty armed with a document that would meet certain criteria to guarantee a successful DUI arrest.

15 16. In further support of the foregoing, on August 22nd 2011, I received by email from Attorney
16 William C. Makler, images of two additional documents which are the Field Evaluation Notes along
17 with the Trombetta side, pertaining to the arrest of a Jane Doe (name of Arrestee has been redacted)
18 dated December 12th 2009 showing the time as "2028." Copies of these two pages have been
19 attached hereto as EXHIBIT 20. This form is dated just two weeks after the form used for the John
20 Page stop and arrest on November 27th 2009 (the Q6(b) document).

21 Analysis of these two EXHIBIT 20 pages reveal that the very same *pre-printed* checkmarks
22 and *pre-printed* words cited herein through Paragraphs 12. through 14. and discussed in Items 1)
23 through 22), were all created by photocopier toner having been copied from the same source
24 document. That is, whatever master document was used to create the pre-copied portions of the

1 Q6(b) document, that same master model (or another iteration thereof) was also used to prepare this
2 EXHIBIT 20 document—both were created from the same source model. EXHIBIT 21 hereto, is an
3 enlargement of the pertinent areas of this EXHIBIT 20 document. By comparing the handwritten
4 “X”s and handwritten words seen on EXHIBIT 21 with the John Page Q6(b) document entries (see
5 the EXHIBIT 19 pages), one will observe that these handwritten entries match demonstrating that
6 all of the entries from the John Page document and from the Jane Doe document were from a same
7 source model.

8 **Changes to the original Time entries:**

9 17. Infrared analysis of the Q6(b) original also revealed that the minutes for the Time entry at
10 the top of the Field Evaluation Notes page had been altered to the present visible entry which reads
11 “0241,” whereas the original minute entry numbers are hidden under the whiteout. The two
12 EXHIBIT 22 infrared images attached hereto show that the minutes “41” were written using a
13 different pen placed over the top of the whiteout. In the first EXHIBIT 22 image one can see the
14 time entry of 2041, in the second EXHIBIT 22 image the “02” goes invisible (infrared
15 transmittance) while the “41” in the same image panel glows white (infrared luminescence). That
16 the inks respond differently proves that two different writing pens were used and a different pen
17 usually indicates a later time for the changed portion of the entry.

18 18. On the Trombetta side of Q6(b), just above the “Waiver Of Right” section, whiteout was
19 also used to change the TIME portion in the box “TIME/RESULTS 2nd SAMPLE”, but the original
20 entry could not be deciphered at this time.

21 19. As to the Trombetta side of EXHIBIT 20, the time entry in the “TIME/RESULTS 1st
22 SAMPLE” has also been altered as is plainly visible from the EXHIBIT 20 copy.

23 ///

24 ///

1 **HANDWRITING COMPARISONS**

2 **PETER LANCE**

3 20. **K1.2** I was advised that these twenty-two request signature samples of Peter Lance were
4 obtained in open Court. These known samples supplement the previous signatures I already
5 received, examined and referenced as “**K1**” in my first declaration dated May 31st, 2011. These
6 additional K1.2 signature specimens were written on blank/fresh copies of Trombetta Forms so as to
7 best approximate the writing conditions as the Q1 questioned document.

8 These requested K1.2 signatures were rapidly executed revealing spontaneous pen motion
9 overall, with the exception of the two signatures written using the unaccustomed hand. What this
10 means is that twenty of the twenty-two requested signatures were writing specimens useful for the
11 analysis. That is, if they had been disguised signatures, they would only provide limited value for
12 use in the signature comparison. But contrary to exhibiting features of disguise, they revealed
13 features of normal, natural signatures.

14 These newly obtained K1.2 signatures were crossed compared with the previous group of
15 known signatures (K1) and the K1.2 signatures were found to be consistent with the K1 signatures
16 from my first declaration, again, with the exception that the two signatures by the unaccustomed
17 hand were not naturally executed revealing features of awkward pen motion and distortion, which is
18 not surprising in signatures written by the unaccustomed hand.

19 **Opinion regarding Peter Lance:**

20 Examination of the additional group of known signatures (K1.2) resulted in no change to my
21 previously stated opinion appearing on Page 5, Paragraph 11. a) of my first declaration dated May
22 31st 2011, where I stated that, “Peter Lance did not write his signature on the Q1 Trombetta
23 waiver.” I further stated that the Q1 questioned “Peter Lance” signature was a “simulation” where
24 the forger attempted to reproduce the pictorial likeness of true signatures by Lance. As stated by

1 James V. P. Conway on page 23 of his book, Evidential Documents (copy of excerpt attached as
2 EXHIBIT 8 to my first declaration)—

3 “Simulated signatures are freehand drawings in imitation of a model signature...This model is placed
4 in proximity to the document to be forged, and the forger copies with pen or pencil his conception of
the form of the genuine signature model, in the manner of the artist sketching from a live model.”

5 **ALISON WOOLERY**

6 21. **K2.2** I was advised that these twenty-two request signature samples of Alison Woolery
7 were obtained in open Court. These known samples supplement the previous signatures I already
8 received, examined and referenced as “**K2**” in my first declaration dated May 31st 2011. These
9 additional K2.2 signature specimens were written on blank/fresh copies of Trombetta Forms so as to
10 best approximate the writing conditions as the Q2 questioned document.

11 These requested K2.2 signatures were rapidly executed revealing spontaneous pen motion
12 overall, with the exception of the two signatures written using the unaccustomed hand. What this
13 means is that twenty of the twenty-two requested signatures were writing specimens useful for the
14 analysis. That is, if they had been disguised signatures, they would only provide limited value for
15 use in the signature comparison. But contrary to exhibiting features of disguise, they revealed
16 features of normal, natural signatures.

17 These newly obtained K2.2 signatures were crossed compared with the previous group of
18 known signatures from my second declaration (K2), and the K2.2 signatures were found to be
19 consistent with the K2 signatures from my first declaration, again, with the exception that the two
20 signatures by the unaccustomed hand were not naturally executed revealing features of awkward pen
21 motion and distortion, which is not surprising in signatures written by the unaccustomed hand.

22 **Opinion regarding Alison Woolery:**

23 Examination of the additional group of known signatures (K2.2) resulted in no change to my
24 previously stated opinion appearing on Page 5, Paragraph 11. b) of my first declaration dated May

1 31st 2011 where I stated that “Alison Woolery did not write her signature on the Q2 Trombetta
2 waiver.” This Q2 questioned “Alison Woolery” signature was also a “simulation” where the forger
3 attempted to reproduce the pictorial likeness of her true signatures.

4 **CODY ZOESCH**

5 22. **K3.2** Nineteen request signature samples of Cody Zoesch obtained in open Court and
6 witnessed by this Examiner. These known samples supplement the previous signatures I already
7 received, examined and referenced as “**K3**” in my first declaration dated May 31st 2011. These
8 additional K3.2 signature specimens were written on blank/fresh copies of Trombetta Forms so as to
9 best approximate the writing conditions as the Q3 questioned document.

10 These requested K3.2 signatures were rapidly executed revealing spontaneous pen motion
11 overall, with the exception of the two signatures written using the unaccustomed hand. What this
12 means is that seventeen of the nineteen requested signatures were writing specimens useful for the
13 analysis. That is, if they had been disguised signatures, they would only provide limited value for
14 use in the signature comparison. But contrary to exhibiting features of disguise, they revealed
15 features of normal, natural signatures.

16 These newly obtained K3.2 signatures were crossed compared with the previous group of
17 known signatures from my second declaration (K3), and the K3.2 signatures were found to be
18 consistent with the K3 signatures from my first declaration, again, with the exception that the two
19 signatures by the unaccustomed hand were not naturally executed revealing features of awkward pen
20 motion and distortion, which is not surprising in signatures written by the unaccustomed hand.

21 **Opinion regarding Cody Zoesch:**

22 Examination of the additional group of known signatures (K3.2) resulted in no change to my
23 previously stated opinion appearing on Page 5, Paragraph 11. c) of my first declaration dated May
24 31st 2011 where I stated that “Cody Zoesch did not write his signature on the Q3 Trombetta waiver.

1 This Q3 questioned “Cody Zoesch” signature was also a simulation where the forger attempted to
2 reproduce the pictorial likeness of his true signatures.

3 **JOHN PAGE**

4 23. **K6.2** Twenty request signature samples of John Page obtained in open Court and
5 witnessed by this Examiner. These known samples supplement the previous signatures I already
6 received, examined and referenced as “**K6**” in my second declaration dated July 20th, 2011. These
7 additional K6.2 signature specimens were written on blank/fresh copies of Trombetta Forms so as to
8 best approximate the writing conditions as the Q6 questioned document.

9 These requested K6.2 signatures were rapidly executed revealing spontaneous pen motion
10 overall, with the exception of the two signatures written using the unaccustomed hand. What this
11 means is that eighteen of the twenty requested signatures were writing specimens useful for the
12 analysis. That is, if they had been disguised signatures, they would only provide limited value for
13 use in the signature comparison. But contrary to exhibiting features of disguise, they revealed
14 features of normal, natural signatures.

15 These newly obtained K6.2 signatures were crossed compared with the previous group of
16 known signatures from my second declaration (K6), and the K6.2 signatures were found to be
17 consistent with the K6 signatures from my first declaration, again, with the exception that the two
18 signatures by the unaccustomed hand were not naturally executed revealing features of awkward pen
19 motion and distortion, which is not surprising in signatures written by the unaccustomed hand.

20 **Opinion regarding John Page:**

21 Examination of the additional group of known signatures (K6.2) resulted in no change to my
22 previously stated opinion appearing on Page 5, Paragraph 8. f) of my second declaration dated July
23 20th 2011 where I stated that “John Page did not write his signature on the Q6 Trombetta waiver.”
24 The “John Page” signature was a “simulation” where the forger attempted to reproduce the pictorial

1 likeness of true signatures by John Page.

2 **RACHEL MORALES**

3 24. **K7.2** I was advised that these twenty-two request signature samples of Rachel Morales
4 were obtained in open Court. These known samples supplement the previous signatures I already
5 received, examined and referenced as “**K7**” in my second declaration dated July 20th 2011. These
6 additional K7.2 signature specimens were written on blank/fresh copies of Trombetta Forms so as to
7 best approximate the writing conditions as the Q7 questioned document.

8 These requested K7.2 signatures were rapidly executed revealing spontaneous pen motion
9 overall, with the exception of the two signatures written using the unaccustomed hand. What this
10 means is that twenty of the twenty-two requested signatures were writing specimens useful for the
11 analysis. That is, if they had been disguised signatures, they would only provide limited value for
12 use in the signature comparison. But contrary to exhibiting features of disguise, they revealed
13 features of normal, natural signatures.

14 These newly obtained K7.2 signatures were crossed compared with the previous group of
15 known signatures from my second declaration (K7), and the K7.2 signatures were found to be
16 consistent with the K7 signatures from my first declaration demonstrating a wide range of variation;
17 and again, with the exception that the two signatures by the unaccustomed hand were not naturally
18 executed revealing features of awkward pen motion and distortion, which is not surprising in
19 signatures written by the unaccustomed hand.

20 **Opinion regarding Rachel Morales:**

21 Examination of the additional group of known signatures (K7.2) resulted in no change to my
22 previously stated opinion appearing on Page 5, Paragraph 8 g) of my second declaration dated July
23 20th July 2011 where I stated that “Rachel Morales did not write her signature on the Q7 Trombetta
24 waiver.” The “Rachel Morales” signature was a “simulation” where the forger attempted to

1 reproduce the pictorial likeness of true signatures by Rachel Morales.

2 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
3 foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

4 Executed this _____ day of August, 2011 at San Francisco, California.

5

6

7

JAMES A. BLANCO

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

EXHIBIT 17

EXHIBIT 17

EXHIBIT 17

FIELD EVALUATION NOTES

GO# 09-88171

<input type="checkbox"/> UNDER 21	YOU ARE OBLIGATED BY LAW TO SUBMIT TO A PAS TEST.	PAS RESULTS TEST 1 .154	TIME 0241	TEMP	SERIAL NUMBER PL-0096
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 21 or OVER	REQUEST ONLY. MAY REFUSE BECAUSE NOT IMPLIED CONSENT. IF ARRESTED YOU WILL BE REQUIRED TO GIVE SAMPLE OF BREATH OR BLOOD.	PAS RESULTS TEST 2	TIME	TEMP	SERIAL NUMBER

SUBJECT ADVISED THAT PART OF THE EVALUATION IS THE ABILITY TO FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS.
 YES NO

TIME STARTED: 0240
 TIME STOPPED / ARREST TIME: 0256 / 0256

ADMINISTERED BY: BEUTEL
 ID NUMBER: 2059
 WITNESSED BY: Cipres
 ID NUMBER: [blank]

TEST LOCATION: SURFACE: Flat - Plot
 TEST LOCATION: WEATHER: COOL - Dry
 TEST LOCATION: LIGHTING: Spot - Star

GLASSES: YES NO
 CONTACTS: YES NO
 COLORED CONTACTS: YES NO
 EQUAL TRACKING: YES NO
 EQUAL PUPIL SIZE: YES NO
 VERTICAL NYSTAGMUS: YES NO

HORIZONTAL GAZE NYSTAGMUS

	RIGHT	LEFT		RIGHT	LEFT
LACK OF SMOOTH PURSUIT PRESENT	✓	✓	ROOM LIGHT (EXISTING)		
DISTINCT & SUSTAINED NYST. AT MAX DEV.	✓	✓	DIRECT LIGHT		
NYSTAGMUS PRIOR TO 45 DEGREES	✓	✓	PUPILARY REACTION TO LIGHT		
TOTAL CLUES (6 POSSIBLE, 3 EACH EYE)	6/6		PUPILOMETER USED	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	DAR REPORT COMPLETED <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO

ALPHABET: YES NO
 EDUCATION / KNOWLEDGE OF ALPHABET: [blank]
 NOTES: [blank]

FINGER DIGITAL COUNT: YES NO
 FIRST SEQUENCE: 1-4, 1-4
 SECOND SEQUENCE: 1-4, 1-4
 THIRD SEQUENCE: [blank]

SPEECH / NOTES: Did 2 sets counting 1-4 both directions - said I asked him to fall to left of then WALK AND TURN do 4 sets.

CANNOT KEEP BALANCE WHILE LISTENING TO INSTRUCTIONS
 STARTS BEFORE INSTRUCTIONS ARE FINISHED
 SHOES: Coaters

NOTES: VERY POOR

	1 st NINE	2 nd NINE	LINE USED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	TYPE: Sidewalk
STOPS WALKING	N	N		
MISSES HEEL TO TOE 1/2"	Yx4	Yx4		
STEPS OFF LINE	Yx2	Yx3		
RAISES ARMS - 6" OR MORE	N	N		
ACTUAL STEPS TAKEN	9	8		

Diagram: (L) (R) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9)

TURN IMPROPERLY - DESCRIBE: Double pivot - wrong direction then counted 5/8
 COMMENTS: front foot as at

ONE LEG STAND
 LEG RAISED RIGHT LEFT

PUTS FOOT DOWN: YES NO 3x5
 USES ARMS FOR BALANCE: YES NO
 SWAYS WHILE BALANCING: YES NO
 HOPS: YES NO

COUNT REACHED AT 30 SECONDS: 20/30
 COMMENTS: [blank]
 TOTAL CLUES (6 POSSIBLE): 3/4

NOTES: fell off balance 3 times - hopping when trying to maintain balance - (very poor)

INTERNAL CLOCK AT 30 SECONDS: 34/30
 SIDE TO SIDE SWAY: YES NO
 FRONT TO BACK SWAY: YES NO

COMMENTS: Opened eyes 2 times

FINGER TO NOSE

1	2	3
LEFT	RIGHT	LEFT
RIGHT	LEFT	RIGHT

Diagram: 6 face sketches showing finger-to-nose test results for both eyes in three positions.

COMMENTS: [blank]

ARRESTING OFFICER: BEUTEL
 ID NUMBER: 2059
 DATE: [blank]
 SUPERVISOR: Hunt
 ID NUMBER: 4725
 DATE: 112109

09-88171
 PL-0096

.154 0241

0240 0256/0256

Plot 5759 Cypress
 0001 - Dry Spot - Stall


IV

WIND DIRECTION	WIND VELOCITY	TEMPERATURE	HUMIDITY	MOONLIGHT SCINTILLATION
YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>
		DIRECT LIGHT POPULAR RESTRICTIONS PUPAL NETS USED <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO		

ix
 Old 2 sets counting 1-4 both directions. Spid I asked him to
 fall to left & then right do (4) sets. Inaters
 side walk

VERY POOR

STEPS WALKING	N	N
HEELS NEAR TOE S	1/24	1/24
STEPS UP LINE	1/22	1/23
HEELS NEAR TOE W	N	N
IC - AL STEPS IN	9	8



Double pivot - wrong direction then counted 5/8
 front foot as 1

x
 3x's PRES. but 1-2-3-4
 20/30
 fell off balance 3 times - hopping when trying
 to maintain balance - (very poor)

34/30
 opened eyes 2 times

EXHIBIT 18

EXHIBIT 18

EXHIBIT 18

**SANTA BARBARA POLICE DEPARTMENT
DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE REPORT**

DRUG RELATED COLLISION FORCED BLOOD DRAW

GO # 09-88171

SUSPECT: LAST NAME <u>Page, John</u> FIRST NAME <u>ERIC</u> MIDDLE _____		DATE <u>11-27-09</u>	DAY OF WEEK <u>FRI</u>
STOP TIME <u>0224</u>	INITIAL CONTACT <input type="checkbox"/> AT DRIVERS WINDOW <input type="checkbox"/> AS SUBJECT EXITED VEHICLE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER _____		
ODOR OF ALCOHOL D. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OBVIOUS M. <input type="checkbox"/> MODERATE S. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> STRONG W. <input type="checkbox"/> WEAK N. <input type="checkbox"/> NONE	ATTITUDE C. <input type="checkbox"/> COMBATIVE O. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> COMPLIANT N. <input type="checkbox"/> NEGATIVE Z. <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER _____	SPEECH N. <input type="checkbox"/> NORMAL R. <input type="checkbox"/> RAPID G. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SLOW / GARBLED S. <input type="checkbox"/> STURRED <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER _____	BALANCE N. <input type="checkbox"/> NORMAL S. <input type="checkbox"/> UNABLE TO STAND U. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNSTABLE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER _____
OTHER SYMPTOMS OF INTOXICATION / INFLUENCE AND UNUSUAL ACTIONS OF SUSPECT <u>Inconsistent alcohol stmts</u>			
CLOTHING WORN / CONDITION AND DESCRIPTION <u>Jeans, Button up shirt, loafers</u>			

DO YOU KNOW OF ANYTHING WRONG WITH YOUR VEHICLE? (DESCRIBE) <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO		DO YOU REMEMBER DRIVING THE VEHICLE? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	
ARE YOU SICK OR INJURED? (DESCRIBE) <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO		HAVE YOU BUMPED YOUR HEAD TODAY? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	
ARE YOU DIABETIC? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	DO YOU TAKE INSULIN PILLS? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	DO YOU TAKE INSULIN INJECTIONS? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	ARE YOU EPILEPTIC? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
RECENT SURGERY <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO			

ARE YOU UNDER THE CARE OF A DOCTOR OR DENTIST? IF YES NAME, ADDRESS, PHONE NUMBER OF MEDICAL PROVIDER
 YES NO

DO YOU HAVE ANY PHYSICAL IMPAIRMENTS? (DESCRIBE)
 YES NO

WHEN DID YOU LAST SLEEP? <u>Last night</u>	HOW LONG? <u>7</u>	WHEN DID YOU LAST EAT? <u>4 Hours ago</u>	DESCRIBE FOOD <u>Dinner Turkey</u>
WERE YOU DRIVING THE VEHICLE? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	WHERE DID YOU START DRIVING? <u>Mesa @ friends house</u>	WHERE WERE YOU GOING? <u>dropping friend off on Brinkerhoff</u>	

DO YOU KNOW WHY YOU WERE STOPPED? IF YES EXPLAIN
 YES NO lic plate

WHAT HAVE YOU BEEN DRINKING?
3 mixed drinks - Margaritas

HOW MUCH? _____ TIME STARTED 9:10p TIME STOPPED 10m ago

NOTES: a friends house

WHERE WERE YOU DRINKING? _____ HOW DID YOU PAY FOR THE DRINKS? _____

WHAT EFFECTS DO YOU FEEL FROM THE DRINKS?
None

NOTES: _____

HAVE YOU BEEN DRINKING SINCE THE ACCIDENT? IF YES, WHAT, WHEN AND HOW MUCH
 YES NO NIA

HAVE YOU TAKEN ANY MEDICINE OR DRUGS? IF YES DESCRIBE _____ HOW MUCH? _____ TIME _____

WHAT EFFECTS DO YOU FEEL FROM THE MEDICINE/DRUGS? _____

NOTES: Initially stated "No alcohol"

CHEMICAL TEST ADMONITION (23612 CALIFORNIA VEHICLE CODE)

YOU ARE REQUIRED BY STATE LAW TO SUBMIT TO A CHEMICAL TEST TO DETERMINE THE ALCOHOLIC AND/OR DRUG CONTENT OF YOUR BLOOD.

BECAUSE I BELIEVE YOU ARE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL, YOU HAVE A CHOICE OF TAKING A BREATH OR BLOOD TEST.

BECAUSE I BELIEVE YOU ARE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL AND DRUGS, YOU HAVE A CHOICE OF TAKING A BREATH, BLOOD, OR URINE TEST.

AFTER BREATH TEST: DRUGS ARE SUSPECTED. SUBJECT HAS CHOICE OF BLOOD OR URINE.

REFUSED: IF TESTS ARE REFUSED READ AND COMPLETE THE CHEMICAL TEST REFUSAL FROM (DMV 567).

EPAS SERIAL NUMBER
PL-0096

BREATH TEST ADMINISTERED BY <u>Breutel</u>	ID NUMBER <u>2059</u>	OBSERVED FOR MINIMUM 15 MINS. FROM <u>0242</u>	TIME / RESULTS 1 ST SAMPLE TO <u>03031 .15</u>	TIME / RESULTS 2 ND SAMPLE <u>03071 .14</u>	LOCATION TAKEN <u>at scene</u>
---	--------------------------	---	--	---	-----------------------------------

WAIVER OF RIGHT TO RETESTABLE SAMPLE

I UNDERSTAND THAT NO PORTION OF MY BREATH SAMPLES WILL BE PRESERVED FOR LATER RETESTING. I UNDERSTAND THAT A BLOOD OR URINE SAMPLE CAN BE RETESTED. I UNDERSTAND THAT I MAY PROVIDE A BLOOD OR URINE SAMPLE FREE OF CHARGE IN ADDITION TO MY BREATH SAMPLES SO THAT THERE WILL BE SOMETHING RETAINED AT NO COST TO ME WHICH MAY BE ANALYZED LATER ON. I UNDERSTAND THAT THE ADDITIONAL BLOOD OR URINE SAMPLE I MAY PROVIDE MAY BE TESTED BY EITHER PARTY IN ANY CRIMINAL PROCEEDING. I WISH TO TAKE THE BREATH TEST.

DO NOT WISH TO GIVE A SAMPLE OF MY BLOOD OR URINE FOR POSSIBLE ANALYSIS, AND I GIVE UP MY RIGHT TO DO SO.

DO WISH TO GIVE A RETESTABLE SAMPLE, AND CHOOSE A BLOOD TEST OR URINE TEST.

REFUSAL OR INABILITY TO SIGN WAIVER DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A REFUSAL TO TAKE TEST* (PROVIDING TEST IS COMPLETED). IF SUBJECT REFUSES TO SIGN WAIVER, STATE "REFUSED" IN SIGNATURE BLOCK.

SIGNED (ARRESTEE) <u>[Signature]</u>	DATE <u>11-27-09</u>	WITNESSED (ARRESTING OFFICER) <u>[Signature]</u>	ID NUMBER <u>2059</u>
URINE TEST ADMINISTERED BY	LOCATION	TIME BLADDER FIRST VOIDED	TIME SPECIMEN OBTAINED
BLOOD TEST DRAWN BY / TITLE	LOCATION	TIME SAMPLE OBTAINED	<input type="checkbox"/> DEPOSITORY COUNTY JAIL <input type="checkbox"/> SENT TO STATE LAB (URINE - DRUG)

09 88171

Page, John ERIC

11-27-09 FRI

0224

DRIVERS WINDOW AS SUBJECT ENTERED VEHICLE OTHER

OFFENSE

- 1 DWI
- 2 DWI WITH BAC
- 3 DWI WITH BAC
- 4 OTHER

VIOLATION

- 1 NONE
- 2 SPEED
- 3 SIGNAL VIOLATION
- 4 OTHER

VIOLATION

- 1 NONE
- 2 DWI
- 3 OTHER

Inconsistent Alcohol Strips
Jeans, Button up shirt, loafers

DO YOU RESEMBLE ANYONE WHO IS KNOWN TO YOU?
 YES NO

HAVE YOU BEEN DRIVING RECENTLY?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

ARE YOU EMPLOYED?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

DO YOU TAKE MEDICATION?
 YES NO

4 Hours ago - Dinner Turkey
Mesa @ friends house - dropping friend
off on Brinkerhoff
3 mixed drinks - Margaritas
a friends house
9-10pm - 10 mago

DO YOU FEEL THE EFFECTS?
None

DO YOU FEEL THE EFFECTS?
None

DO YOU FEEL THE EFFECTS?
None

DO YOU FEEL THE EFFECTS?
None

DO YOU FEEL THE EFFECTS?
None

DO YOU FEEL THE EFFECTS?
None

DO YOU FEEL THE EFFECTS?
None

DO YOU FEEL THE EFFECTS?
None

DO YOU FEEL THE EFFECTS?
None

DO YOU FEEL THE EFFECTS?
None

DO YOU FEEL THE EFFECTS?
None

DO YOU FEEL THE EFFECTS?
None

DO YOU FEEL THE EFFECTS?
None

DO YOU FEEL THE EFFECTS?
None

DO YOU FEEL THE EFFECTS?
None

Initially stated "no alcohol"

YOU ARE REQUIRED BY STATE LAW TO SUBMIT TO A CHEMICAL TEST TO DETERMINE THE ALCOHOLIC AND/OR DRUG CONTENT OF YOUR BLOOD

IF YOU REFUSE YOU ARE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL. YOU HAVE A CHOICE OF TAKING A BREATH OR BLOOD TEST

IF YOU REFUSE YOU ARE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL. YOU HAVE A CHOICE OF TAKING A BREATH OR BLOOD OR URINE TEST

IF YOU REFUSE YOU ARE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL. YOU HAVE A CHOICE OF TAKING A BREATH OR BLOOD OR URINE TEST

IF YOU REFUSE YOU ARE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL. YOU HAVE A CHOICE OF TAKING A BREATH OR BLOOD OR URINE TEST

IF YOU REFUSE YOU ARE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL. YOU HAVE A CHOICE OF TAKING A BREATH OR BLOOD OR URINE TEST

IF YOU REFUSE YOU ARE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL. YOU HAVE A CHOICE OF TAKING A BREATH OR BLOOD OR URINE TEST

IF YOU REFUSE YOU ARE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL. YOU HAVE A CHOICE OF TAKING A BREATH OR BLOOD OR URINE TEST

IF YOU REFUSE YOU ARE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL. YOU HAVE A CHOICE OF TAKING A BREATH OR BLOOD OR URINE TEST

IF YOU REFUSE YOU ARE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL. YOU HAVE A CHOICE OF TAKING A BREATH OR BLOOD OR URINE TEST

IF YOU REFUSE YOU ARE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL. YOU HAVE A CHOICE OF TAKING A BREATH OR BLOOD OR URINE TEST

AR 0096
Breitel 2059 0224 0303 .15 0307 .14 at scene

11 Ray 11-27-09

EXHIBIT 19

EXHIBIT 19

EXHIBIT 19

TROMBETTA — Xs

Photomicrographs



Do you Know Of
Anything Wrong With
Your Vehicle?



Do you Remember
Driving The Vehicle?



Are You Sick
Or Injured?



Have You Bumped
Your Head Today?



Are You Diabetic?

Infrared luminescence




EXHIBIT 19.1



BLANCO & Associates Inc.


TROMBETTA — Xs

The white portions of the images in the right columns reveal original ballpoint pen writing ink, while the black portions of the images represent toner as the result of a photocopier process

Photomicrographs



Do You Take
Insulin?




Do You Take
Insulin Injections?



Are You Epileptic?




Recent Surgery



Are You Under The Care
Of A Doctor Or Dentist?

Infrared luminescence



715



715



715



EXHIBIT 19.2



BLANCO & Associates Inc.


TROMBETTA — Xs

The white portions of the images in the right columns reveal original ballpoint pen writing ink, while the black portions of the images represent toner as the result of a photocopier process


Photomicrographs



Do You Have Any
Physical Impairments?



Were You Driving
The Vehicle?



Do You Know Why You
Were Stopped?



Have You Taken Any
Medicine Or Drugs?



Because I Believe You Are
Under The Influence Of
Alcohol...

Infrared Luminescence



EXHIBIT 19.3



BLANCO & Associates Inc.

FIELD EVALUATION NOTES — Xs


The white portions of the images in the right columns reveal original ballpoint pen writing ink, while the black portions of the images represent toner as the result of a photocopier process

Photomicrographs in this left column

Infrared luminescence images of pen inks



Contacts- "NO" is checked in original "live" writing pen ink



Subject Advised That Part Of The Evaluation Is The Ability To Follow Instructions



Equal Tracking



Equal Pupil Size




EXHIBIT 19.4



BLANCO & Associates Inc.

TROMBETTA — Xs and hand printing

The white portions of the images in the right columns reveal original ballpoint pen writing ink, while the black portions of the images represent toner as the result of a photocopier process

ID NUMBER	WITNESS
2059	U
TEST LOCATION: WEATHER	
0001 - Dr	
COLORED CONTACTS	
<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	

ADMINISTERED BY
BEUTEL
TEST LOCATION: SURFACE
Flat - PL
GLASSES
<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO

EXHIBIT 19.5



BLANCO & Associates Inc.

EXHIBIT 20

EXHIBIT 20

EXHIBIT 20

**SANTA BARBARA PD
DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE**

GO 2009-91899 (CLOSED-ARRES)

23152 - A DUI

Scanned Image - Type : DUI CITE/REPORT/ADDITIONAL INFO

FIELD EVALUATION NOTES

GO # *04 91899*

<input type="checkbox"/> UNDER 21 YOU ARE ORIGINATED BY LAW TO SUBMIT TO A PAS TEST.	PAS RESULT TEST 1 <i>Refused</i>	TIME <i>X2</i>	TEMP	SERIAL NUMBER
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 21 or OVER REQUEST ONLY, MAY REFUSE BECAUSE NOT IMPLIED CONSENT. IF ARRESTED YOU WILL BE REQUIRED TO GIVE SAMPLE OF BREATH OR BLOOD.	PAS RESULT TEST 2	TIME	TEMP	SERIAL NUMBER

SUBJECT ADMITS THAT PART OF THE EVALUATION IS THE ABILITY TO FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO		TIME STARTED <i>2041</i>	TIME STOPPED / ARREST TIME <i>2059/2059</i>
ADMINISTERED BY <i>BEUTEL</i>	ID NUMBER <i>2059</i>	WITNESSED BY ID NUMBER	
TEST LOCATION: SURFACE <i>Flat-</i>	TEST LOCATION: WEATHER <i>COOL-</i>	TEST LOCATION: LIGHTING <i>Spot-</i>	

GLASSES <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	CONTACTS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	DRY (RED) CONTACTS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	EQUAL TRACKING <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	EQUAL PUPIL SIZE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	VERTICAL NYSTAGMUS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
--	---	---	---	---	---

LACK OF SMOOTH PURSUIT PRESENT	RIGHT	LEFT	ROOM LIGHT (EXISTING)
DISTINCT & SUSTAINED NYST. AT MAX. DEL.	<i>Y</i>	<i>Y</i>	DIRECT LIGHT
NYSTAGMUS PRIOR TO 45 DEGREES	<i>N</i>	<i>N</i>	PUPILARY REACTION TO LIGHT
TOTAL CLUES (IF POSSIBLE) (MAX. 21)	<i>4/6</i>		PUPILOMETER USED <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
			CAR REPORT COMPLETED <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO

ALPHABET <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	EDUCATION / KNOWLEDGE OF ALPHABET	NOTES
---	-----------------------------------	-------

FINGER DIGITAL COUNT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	FIRST SEQUENCE <i>1-4, 4-1</i>	SECOND SEQUENCE <i>1-4, 4-1</i>	THIRD SEQUENCE <i>1-4, 4-1</i>
SPEECH, NOTES	<i>1-4, 4-1 did (4) sets instructed 3 sets.</i>		

<input type="checkbox"/> CANNOT KEEP BALANCE WHILE LISTENING TO INSTRUCTIONS	<input type="checkbox"/> STAYS BEFORE INSTRUCTIONS ARE FINISHED	SHOES <i>Boots-Flat</i>
--	---	----------------------------

NOTES	STOPS WALKING	1 st NINE <i>N</i>	2 nd NINE <i>N</i>	LINE USED <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO TYPE
	WISSES HEEL TO TOE %*	<i>N</i>	<i>N</i>	
	STEPS OFF LINE	<i>N</i>	<i>Y</i>	
	RAISES ARMS - 6" OR MORE	<i>N</i>	<i>N</i>	
	ACTUAL STEPS TAKEN	<i>10</i>	<i>10</i>	

TURNS IMPROPERLY - DESCRIBE <i>Double Pivot - wrong direction</i>	TOTAL CLUES (IF POSSIBLE) <i>3/8</i>
COMMENTS <i>Leaning forward 2nd Pass</i>	

ONE LEG STAND	
LEGS RAISED <input type="checkbox"/> RIGHT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LEFT	

PUTS FOOT DOWN <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	USES ARMS FOR BALANCE <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	SWAYS WHILE BALANCING <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	HOPS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
COUNT REACHED AT 30 SECONDS <i>21</i>	COMMENTS <i>Large sway - bent leg to keep balance</i>	TOTAL CLUES (IF POSSIBLE) <i>1/4</i>	

INTERVAL CLOCK AT 30 SECONDS <i>26/30</i>	SIDE TO SIDE SWAY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	FRONT TO BACK SWAY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
COMMENTS <i>Small circular sway</i>		

1		2		3	
LEFT	RIGHT	LEFT	RIGHT	RIGHT	LEFT
COMMENTS					

ARRESTING OFFICER <i>BEUTEL</i>	ID NUMBER <i>2059</i>	DATE	SUPERVISOR	ID NUMBER	DATE
------------------------------------	--------------------------	------	------------	-----------	------

SANTA BARBARA PD
DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

GO 2009-91899 (CLOSED-ARRES)

23152 - A DUI

Scanned Image - Type : DUI CITE/REPORT/ADDITIONAL INFO

SANTA BARBARA POLICE DEPARTMENT
DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE REPORT

DRUG RELATED COLLISION FORCED BLOOD DRAW

GO # 09-91899

SUSPECT FIRST NAME	CRIMINAL NAME	DATE	DAY OF WEEK
		12-12-09	Sat
STOP TIME	INITIAL CONTACT		
2028	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AT DRIVERS WINDOW <input type="checkbox"/> AS SUBJECT EXITED VEHICLE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER		
DEGREE OF ALCOHOL	ATTITUDE	SPEECH	BALANCE
D. <input type="checkbox"/> OBVIOUS M. <input type="checkbox"/> MODERATE S. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> STRONG W. <input type="checkbox"/> WEAK N. <input type="checkbox"/> NONE	C. <input type="checkbox"/> COMBATIVE D. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> COMPLIANT M. <input type="checkbox"/> NEGATIVE Z. <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	N. <input type="checkbox"/> NORMAL R. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RAPID G. <input type="checkbox"/> SLOW / GARBLED S. <input type="checkbox"/> SLURRED <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	N. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NORMAL S. <input type="checkbox"/> UNABLE TO STAND U. <input type="checkbox"/> UNSTABLE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER
OTHER SYMPTOMS OF INTOXICATION / INFLUENCE AND UNUSUAL ACTIONS OF SUSPECT			
Inconsistent alcohol statements			
CLOTHING WORN / CONDITION AND DESCRIPTION			
Pants, Boots, Jacket			
DO YOU KNOW OF ANYTHING WRONG WITH YOUR VEHICLE? (DESCRIBE)		DO YOU REMEMBER DRIVING THE VEHICLE?	
<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	
ARE YOU SICK OR INJURED? (DESCRIBE)		HAVE YOU BUMPED YOUR HEAD TODAY?	
<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO		<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	
ARE YOU DIABETIC?	DO YOU TAKE INSULIN PILLS?	DO YOU TAKE INSULIN INJECTIONS?	ARE YOU EPILEPTIC?
<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
RECENT SURGERY			
<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO			
ARE YOU UNDER THE CARE OF A DOCTOR OR DENTIST? IF YES NAME, ADDRESS, PHONE NUMBER OF MEDICAL PROVIDER			
<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO			
DO YOU HAVE ANY PHYSICAL IMPAIRMENTS? (DESCRIBE)			
<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO			
WHEN DID YOU LAST SLEEP?	HOW LONG?	WHEN DID YOU LAST EAT?	DESCRIBE FOOD
Last night	10	8P	Dinner - Party - SUSHI
WHERE YOU DRIVING THE VEHICLE?	WHERE DID YOU START DRIVING?	WHERE WERE YOU DRIVING?	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	EL Sueno	Friend's house	
DO YOU KNOW WHY YOU WERE STOPPED? IF YES EXPLAIN			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO			
WHAT HAVE YOU BEEN DRINKING?	HOW MUCH?	TIME STARTED	TIME STOPPED
3 glasses wine past 3 hours	top	1H ago	
NOTES:			
Ined 1 2 white			
WHERE WERE YOU DRINKING?	HOW DID YOU PAY FOR THE DRINKS?		
@ Party			
WHAT EFFECTS DO YOU FEEL FROM THE DRINKS?			
No - only nervous			
NOTES:			
HAVE YOU BEEN DRINKING SINCE THE ACCIDENT? IF YES, WHAT, WHEN AND HOW MUCH			
<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO N/A			
HAVE YOU TAKEN ANY MEDICINE OR DRUGS? IF YES DESCRIBE		HOW MUCH?	TIME
<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO			
WHAT EFFECTS DO YOU FEEL FROM THE MEDICINE/DRUGS?			
NOTES:			

CHEMICAL TEST ADMINISTRATION (23152 CALIFORNIA VEHICLE CODES)

YOU ARE REQUIRED BY STATE LAW TO SUBMIT TO A CHEMICAL TEST TO DETERMINE THE ALCOHOLIC AND/OR DRUG CONTENT OF YOUR BLOOD.

BECAUSE I BELIEVE YOU ARE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL YOU HAVE A CHOICE OF TAKING A BREATH OR BLOOD TEST.

BECAUSE I BELIEVE YOU ARE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL AND DRUGS YOU HAVE A CHOICE OF TAKING A BREATH, BLOOD, OR URINE TEST.

AFTER BREATH TEST: DRUGS ARE SUSPECTED, SUBJECT HAS CHOICE OF BLOOD OR URINE.

REFUSED: IF TESTS ARE REFUSED READ AND COMPLETE THE CHEMICAL TEST REFUSAL FROM (DMV 367).

EPAS SERIAL NUMBER

KA-0178

BREATH TEST ADMINISTERED BY	ID NUMBER	OBSERVED FOR IMPAIRMENT TO DRIVING FROM	TIME / RESULTS 1 ST SAMPLE	TIME / RESULTS 2 ND SAMPLE	LOCATION TAKEN
Brethel	2059	2041	2116 .09	2116 1 .09	Station

WAIVER OF RIGHT TO RETESTABLE SAMPLE

I UNDERSTAND THAT NO PORTION OF MY BREATH SAMPLES WILL BE PRESERVED FOR LATER RETESTING. I UNDERSTAND THAT A BLOOD OR URINE SAMPLE CAN BE RETESTED. I UNDERSTAND THAT I MAY PROVIDE A BLOOD OR URINE SAMPLE FREE OF CHARGE IN ADDITION TO MY BREATH SAMPLES SO THAT THERE WILL BE SOMETHING RETAINED AT NO COST TO ME WHICH MAY BE ANALYZED LATER ON. I UNDERSTAND THAT THE ADDITIONAL BLOOD OR URINE SAMPLE I MAY PROVIDE MAY BE TESTED BY EITHER PARTY IN ANY CRIMINAL PROCEEDING. I WISH TO TAKE THE BREATH TEST.

I DO NOT WISH TO GIVE A SAMPLE OF MY BLOOD OR URINE FOR POSSIBLE ANALYSIS, AND I GIVE UP MY RIGHT TO DO SO.

I DO WISH TO GIVE A RETESTABLE SAMPLE, AND CHOOSE A BLOOD TEST OR URINE TEST.

REFUSAL OR INABILITY TO SIGN WAIVER DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A REFUSAL TO TAKE TEST (PROVIDING TEST IS COMPLETED). IF SUBJECT REFUSES TO SIGN WAIVER, STATE 'REFUSED' IN SIGNATURE BLOCK.

SIGNED (ARRESTEE)	DATE	WITNESSED (ARRESTING OFFICER)	ID NUMBER
X [Signature]	12-12-09	[Signature]	2059
URINE TEST ADMINISTERED BY	LOCATION	TIME BLADDER FIRST VOIDED	TIME SPECIMEN OBTAINED
BLOOD TEST DRAWN BY / TITLE	LOCATION	TIME SAMPLE OBTAINED	<input type="checkbox"/> DEPOSITORY COUNTY JAIL <input type="checkbox"/> SENT TO STATE LAB (URINE - DRUG)

000013A

EXHIBIT 21

EXHIBIT 21

EXHIBIT 21

ENLARGEMENTS OF THE EXHIBIT 20 DOCUMENTS

DO YOU KNOW OF ANYTHING WRONG WITH YOUR VEHICLE? (DESCRIBE)				DO YOU REMEMBER	
<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	
ARE YOU SICK OR INJURED? (DESCRIBE)				HAVE YOU BUMPED?	
<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO				<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	
ARE YOU DIABETIC?	DO YOU TAKE INSULIN PILLS?	DO YOU TAKE INSULIN INJECTIONS?	ARE YOU EPILEPTIC?	RECENT SURGERY	
<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	
ARE YOU UNDER THE CARE OF A DOCTOR OR DENTIST? IF YES NAME, ADDRESS, PHONE NUMBER OF MEDICAL PROVIDER					
<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO					
DO YOU HAVE ANY PHYSICAL IMPAIRMENTS? (DESCRIBE)					
<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO					
WHEN DID YOU LAST SLEEP?	HOW LONG?	WHEN DID YOU LAST EAT?	DESCRIBE FOOD		
Last night	10	8P	Dinner - Party - SUS		
WERE YOU DRIVING THE VEHICLE?	WHERE DID YOU START DRIVING?		WHERE WERE YOU GOING?		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	EL SUEÑO		FRIENDS HOUSE		
DO YOU KNOW WHY YOU WERE STOPPED? IF YES EXPLAIN					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO					
WHAT HAVE YOU BEEN DRINKING?				HOW MUCH?	TIME START

OFFICIAL TEST ADMINISTERED BY A CALIFORNIA TESTER

YOU ARE REQUIRED BY STATE LAW TO SUBMIT TO A CHEMICAL TEST TO DETERMINE THE ALCOHOL

BECAUSE I BELIEVE YOU ARE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL, YOU HAVE A CHOICE OF TAKING A

BECAUSE I BELIEVE YOU ARE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL AND DRUGS, YOU HAVE A CHOICE OF

AFTER BREATH TEST: DRUGS ARE SUSPECTED, SUBJECT HAS CHOICE OF BLOOD OR URINE.

REFUSED: IF TESTS ARE REFUSED READ AND COMPLETE THE CHEMICAL TEST REFUSAL FROM (DI

BREATH TEST ADMINISTERED BY	ID NUMBER	OBSERVED FOR MINIMUM 15 MINS. FROM	TIME / RESULTS	SAMPLE
Beutel	2059	2041	21:09	09

WAIVER OF RIGHT TO RETESTABLE SAMPLE

SUBJECT ADVISED THAT PART OF THE EVALUATION IS THE ABILITY TO FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS.			TIME STARTED	TRM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO			2041	
ADMINISTERED BY	ID NUMBER	WITNESSED BY		
BEUTEL	2059			
TEST LOCATION: SURFACE	TEST LOCATION: WEATHER	TEST LOCATION: LIGHT		
Flat-	COOL-	Spot-		
GLASSES	CONTACTS	COLOR CONTACTS	EQUAL TRACKING	EQUAL PUPIL SIZE
<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
HORIZONTAL GAZE NYSTAGMUS			DRUG INFLUENCE PUPILLARY SIZE CI	

EXHIBIT 21



BLANCO & Associates Inc.

EXHIBIT 22

EXHIBIT 22

EXHIBIT 22

EVALUATION NOTES

GO # 09-8

PAS RESULTS TEST 1 0.154	TIME 0241	TEMP	SE
PAS RESULTS TEST 2	TIME	TEMP	SE

INSTRUCTIONS.	TIME STARTED 0240	TIME S
---------------	----------------------	--------

WITNESSED BY
Cipres

WEATHER Dry	TEST LOCATION: LIGHT Spot - 9
----------------	----------------------------------

EQUAL TRACKING <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	EQUAL PUPIL SIZE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
---	---

DRUG INFLUENCE PUPILLARY SIZE COM
RIGHT

ROOM LIGHT (EXISTING)	/
DIRECT LIGHT	
PUPILARY REACTION TO LIGHT	
PUPILOMETER USED <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	

DAR R
 Y

NOTES

EVALUATION NOTES

GO #

PAS RESULTS TEST 1	TIME 41	TEMP	SE
PAS RESULTS TEST 2	TIME	TEMP	SE

INSTRUCTIONS.	TIME STARTED	TIME S
---------------	--------------	--------

WITNESSED BY

WEATHER

TEST LOCATION: LIGHT

Spot-

EQUAL TRACKING
 YES NOEQUAL PUPIL SIZE
 YES NODRUG INFLUENCE PUPILLARY SIZE COM
RIGHT

ROOM LIGHT (EXISTING)

DIRECT LIGHT

PUPILARY REACTION TO LIGHT

PUPILOMETER USED

 YES NODAR
Y

NOTES